# Nuclear structure, nuclear models and symmetries

P. Van Isacker, GANIL, France

Recent trends in nuclear structure theory. Why do we (still) need nuclear models? The role of symmetries

- Historical landmarks
- Examples of recent applications

CGS17, Grenoble, July 2023

## Ab initio nuclear structure

The *ab initio* approach aims to describe nuclei

- from the interactions between the nucleons, ideally as derived from QCD (not possible as yet → EFT, effective field theory);
- in a comprehensive manner ("all" nuclei with their "complete" phenomenology);
- with an estimate of theoretical uncertainties.

Question: Will new (unexpected) structural features emerge from this reductionist approach?

# Ab initio progress



# Chiral effective field theory (EFT)

 $\chi$ EFT is a low-energy realisation of QCD. DOFs: nucleons+pions. Interactions: explicit pion-driven others: contact  $\rightarrow$ coupling constants Power counting provides an organisational scheme.



- S. Weinberg, Nucl. Phys. B 363 (1991) 3
- E. Epelbaum et al., Rev. Mod. Phys. 81 (2009) 1773
- R. Machleidt, F. Sammarruca, Phys. Scripta 91 (2016) 083007

# The nuclear many-body problem

With the Hamiltonian  $H=T+H_2+H_3+...$  from  $\chi$ EFT solve the A-body eigenvalue problem:

$$\hat{H} \left| \Psi_{k}^{A} \right\rangle = E_{k}^{A} \left| \Psi_{k}^{A} \right\rangle$$

Truncate infinite 1-body Hilbert (single-particle) space to one with dimension *D*.

Dimension of the A-body Hilbert space:

$$\begin{pmatrix} D \\ A \end{pmatrix} = \frac{D!}{A!(A-D)!}$$

Exact diagonalisation quickly becomes intractable  $\rightarrow$  need for approximate methods.

# Many-body approximations

Partitioning of H in  $H_0$  (easy) and  $H_1$  (rest). The eigenvalue problem is easy for  $H_0$ :  $\hat{H}_{0} \left| \varphi_{k}^{(0)} \right\rangle = E_{k}^{(0)} \left| \varphi_{k}^{(0)} \right\rangle$ An expansion series for the true eigenfunction:  $\left|\Psi_{k}^{A}\right\rangle = \hat{\Omega}_{k}\left|\varphi_{k}^{(0)}\right\rangle$ Two possible expansions of the wave operator: perturbative:  $\Omega_k = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{\hat{H}_1}{\hat{H}_2 - E_1^{(0)}} \right)^k$  (MBPT) non-perturbative:  $\Omega_k = \sum f_r(\hat{H}_1)$  (SRG,CC,GF)

# Symmetry breaking & restoration

Exact symmetries of the true Hamiltonian:

 $\begin{bmatrix} \hat{H}, \hat{A} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{H}, \hat{J}^2 \end{bmatrix} = 0$ In closed-shell nuclei:  $\begin{bmatrix} \hat{H}_0, \hat{A} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{H}_0, \hat{J}^2 \end{bmatrix} = 0$ In open-shell nuclei:  $\begin{bmatrix} \hat{H}_0, \hat{A} \end{bmatrix} \neq 0 \text{ and / or } \begin{bmatrix} \hat{H}_0, \hat{J}^2 \end{bmatrix} \neq 0$ 

 $\rightarrow$  zoo of many-body methods [closed/open, U(1) and/or SU(2), perturbative (MBPT), non-perturbative (SRG, CC, CF,...)].

# The need for nuclear models

Ab initio nuclear theory is invariably complicated. Experiments reveal a Janus-faced nucleus, the properties of which are often complex but sometimes simple.



# A complex nucleus: <sup>199</sup>Pb



#### A complex nucleus: <sup>112</sup>Cd



P.E. Garrett et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 123 (2019) 142502

# A complex nucleus: <sup>160</sup>Er



#### Random matrices, GOE...



E0 (eV)	$\Delta E_0$	(meV)	$\Delta \Gamma_n^0$	(eV)	$\Delta E_0$	$\Gamma_n^0$ (meV)	$\Delta \Gamma_n^0$	(eV)	$\Delta E_0$	Γ <sub>n</sub> <sup>0</sup> (meV)	$\Delta \Gamma_n^0$
6.68		0.59	0.004	1177.62	0.55	1.85	0.15	2620.6	1.85	0.80	0.40
21.00		1.0004	0.0002	1210.03	0.55	2.05	0.30	2031.0	1.85	0.02	0.02
36.70		5.14	0.15	1245.12	0.60	6.50	0.50	2695.6	1.90	0.45	0.10
66.30		3.09	0.12	1267.01	0.60	0.75	0.05	2716.8	1.95	1.36	0.30
80.77	0.47	0.23	0.02	1273.20	0.60	0.80	0.05	*2730.0	1.95	0.05	0.05
90.00	0.15	0.008	0.001	1298.44	0.65	0.08	0.03	2750.1	2.00	0.75	0.25
16.03	0.20	3 33	0.20	1317.21	0.05	0.11	0.02	2701.9	2.00	0.30	0.05
45.80	0.25	0.07	0.027	1393.00	0.70	3.70	0.50	*2798.0	2.00	0.05	0.05
65.54	0.30	0.27	0.03	1405.11	0.70	2.05	0.20	3806.2	2.05	0.13	0.05
190.34	0.30	10.90	0.20	*1410.00	0.75	0.03	0.03	2828.6	2.05	0.17	0.05
208.65	0.35	3.90	0.40	*1417.00	0.75	0.03	0.02	*2845.2	2.10	0.05	0.05
237.40	0.10	0.01	0.10	1419.04	0.75	0.25	0.10	2800.1	2.10	0.80	1.00
263.94	0.10	0.014	0.002	1444.10	0.75	0.57	0.01	2897.8	2.15	0.50	0.25
273.74	0.10	1.52	0.10	1473.80	0.80	2.05	0.20	*2908.5	2.15	0.05	0.05
291.11	0.15	0.90	0.10	1523.10	0.80	5.50	0.50	2923.6	2.15	0.08	0.04
11.12	0.15	0.056	0.004	1532.00	0.80	0.05	0.02	2932.3	2.15	0.46	0.20
41.92	0.20	4.40	0.40	1540.00	0.85	0.02	0.02	2950.5	2.20	0.28	0.10
397.56	0.20	0.30	0.05	1565.00	0.85	0.05	0.01	*2974.0	2.20	0.05	0.05
410.23	0.25	0.95	0.05	1598.16	0.85	8.00	0.50	2987.4	2.25	0.10	0.05
434.19	0.25	0.40	0.07	1622.89	0.90	2.10	0.30	3003.1	2.25	1.70	0.50
454.17	0.25	0.02	0.005	1638.19	0.90	1.00	0.12	3015.0	2.25	0.13	0.05
403.31	0.30	0.24	0.02	1662.08	0.90	4.00	0.02	3029.0	2.30	2.50	0.50
488.89	0.30	0.02	0.005	1688.33	0.95	1.90	0.30	3060.2	2.30	0.50	0.10
518.59	0.30	1.90	0.10	*1700.71	0.95	0.02	0.02	3081.1	2.35	0.08	0.03
535.49	0.35	1.60	0.10	1709.40	0.95	1.35	0.15	3109.4	2.40	1.80	0.50
556.05	0.35	0.02	0.01	1723.00	1.00	0.33	0.04	3133.2	2.40	0.10	0.05
80.20	0.40	1.12	0.03	1744.00	1.00	0.04	0.01	3149.0	2.40	1.10	0.20
519 94	0.20	1 14	0.04	1782.30	1.00	11.00	1.00	3179.4	2.45	1 10	0.02
623.53	0.20	0.017	0.007	1797.70	1.05	0.05	0.02	3189.0	2.45	0.77	0.30
628.67	0.20	0.16	0.02	1808.26	1.05	0.40	0.10	3206.0	2.50	1.00	0.30
661.18	0.25	4.50	0.25	1845.60	1.10	0.31	0.05	3226.0	2.50	0.40	0.10
602.22	0.25	0.02	0.01	1902.27	1.15	0.48	0.10	3249.2	2.55	0.20	0.05
708.46	0.25	0.70	0.10	1968.66	1.20	13.00	1.00	3295.0	2.60	0.15	0.05
21.80	0.25	0.05	0.01	1974.65	1.20	10.50	1.00	3310.9	2.60	1.65	0.20
730.10	0.25	0.03	0.01	2023.58	1.25	4.50	0.50	3321.3	2.60	1.42	0.20
732.26	0.30	0.05	0.005	2031.06	1.25	1.10	0.10	3334.0	2.65	1.00	0.15
742.95	0.30	0.02	0.005	2088.03	1.30	0.30	0.05	3355.7	2.05	1.50	0.20
779.14	0.30	0.06	0.005	2124.35	1.35	0.10	0.05	3387.8	2.70	0.14	0.04
790.88	0.30	0.18	0.02	2145.95	1.35	0.75	0.10	3409.0	2.70	1.80	0.50
821.58	0.35	2.05	0.10	2152.77	1.35	3.80	0.40	*3419.0	2.75	0.05	0.05
846.62	0.35	0.02	0.005	2172.00	1.40	0.05	0.03	3436.9	2.75	3.25	0.50
856 15	0.35	2 75	0.10	*2185.99	1.40	0.05	0.80	3459.1 *3470.0	2.80	0.50	1.00
866.52	0.35	0.14	0.02	2201.42	1.40	2.40	0.40	3484.3	2.80	2.00	1.00
*891.29	0.35	0.03	0.01	2229.96	1.45	0.10	0.03	3492.0	2.80	0.19	0.10
905.11	0.35	1.50	0.05	2235.73	1.45	0.10	0.05	3512.0	2.85	0.05	0.02
909.90	0.38	0.03	0.01	*2241.53	1.45	0.03	0.03	3526.0	2.85	0.18	0.10
923.18 *032 50	0.40	0.28	0.02	2259.00	1.45	1.58	0.15	3501.5	2.90	2.40	0.80
936.87	0.40	4.80	0.50	2281.27	1.50	2.30	0.10	3593.0	2.95	0.26	0.05
958.43	0.40	5.10	0.50	2288.70	1.50	0.05	0.02	*3600.0	2.95	0.05	0.05
991.78	0.45	11.00	0.50	*2302.0	1.50	0.02	0.02	3611.0	2.95	0.05	0.02
.000.30	0.45	0.04	0.04	2315.9	1.50	0.30	0.10	3625.0	3.00	0.05	0.02
011.25	0.45	0.06	0.02	2337.4	1.55	0.10	0.05	3030.0	3.00	3.00	0.50
029.08	0.45	0.20	0.04	2356.0	1.55	1.30	0.50	*3674.0	3.00	0.05	0.05
033.16	0.45	0.02	0.02	2392.5	1.60	0.23	0.10	3693.0	3.05	4.00	1.00
1053.93	0.45	2.30	0.50	2410.2	1.60	0.09	0.03	3717.7	3.10	1.00	0.25
1068.10	0.45	0.02	0.02	2426.5	1.65	1.65	0.30	3733.3	3.10	2.50	1.00
1070.50	0.50	0.01	0.01	2446.2	1.65	2.25	0.25	3764.7	3.15	0.56	0.10
1094.80	0.50	0.02	0.01	2454.0	1.05	1 10	0.03	3/85./	3.20	4.50	0.05
008 35	0.50	0.45	0.10	2520.7	1.75	0.20	0.10	3832.0	3.25	0.10	0.05
10,0.00	0.50	0.02	0.01	2548.7	1.75	6.80	0.80	3858.1	3.30	5.50	1.00
1102.34			0.04	1 0550.0	1 75	4 30	0.50	1 2074 2	2 20	1.00	1 50
102.34 108.88	0.50	0.90	0.05	2559.3	1.75	4.50	0.50	38/1.3	3.30	4.00	1.50
1102.34 1108.88 1131.45	0.50	0.90 0.06 6.50	0.05	2580.7	1.75	4.80	0.50	3895.0	3.30	4.00	0.05

TABLE II. Neutron resonance level parameters of U<sup>238</sup>.

# Emergence of new concepts

magnetic rotation in spherical <sup>199</sup>Pb



#### The shears mechanism





#### Do we need parametrised models?



#### P.E. Garrett et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 123 (2019) 142502

#### Do we need parametrised models?



# Simplicity through symmetry





F. Iachello & A. Arima, The Interacting Boson Model

## Symmetries of nuclear models

Heisenberg (1932): isospin SU(2) Wigner (1937): spin-isospin SU(4) Racah (1943): seniority SU(2) Elliott (1958): rotation SU(3) Arima & Iachello (1976): IBM U(6)

# Theory of complex spectra

In the 1940s Racah published a series of seminal papers on the application of group theory to atomic spectra. The third of the series (primarily concerned with coefficients of fractional parentage) contains the first mention of seniority.

PHYSICAL REVIEW

VOLUME 63, NUMBERS 9 AND 10

MAY 1 AND 15, 1943



#### Theory of Complex Spectra. III

GUILIO RACAN The Hebrew University, Jerusalem, Palestine (Received February 8, 1943)

The consideration of the phases of the fractional-parentage coefficients allows the extension of the matrix methods to configurations with more than two equivalent electrons. Tables are given for the parentages of the terms of  $p^n$  and  $d^n$ . Applications are made to the spin-orbit interaction of the  $d^n$  terms and to the electrostatic interaction between the configurations  $d^n$ .  $d^{n-1}s$ , and  $d^{n-2}s^2$ . Errata in Part II are indicated.

# Racah's "seniority number"

In this section we shall classify the terms of the configuration  $l^n$  according to the eigenvalues of

$$Q = \sum_{i < j} q_{ij}, \tag{34}$$

where  $q_{ij}$  is a scalar operator which operates on the two equivalent electrons i and j and is defined by the relation

$$(l^2 LM[q_{ij}|l^2 LM) = (2l+1)\delta(L, 0).$$
(35)

It will be shown that to every term of  $l^n$  with non-vanishing Q a term of the same kind corresponds in  $l^{n-2}$ , and this fact will allow us to assign to each term a "seniority number" according to the value of n for which the term appeared for the first time. Some useful relation between the fractional parentages of corresponding terms will be obtained and it will also be shown that the classification of the terms of  $l^{2l+1}$  according to the two possibilities of (76)II depends only on the seniority of the term. We may thus assign to each term in the QSL scheme a "seniority number" v, which indicates the number of electrons of the first member of its chain; it follows immediately from (45) that Q depends only on n and v and that its values are given by

$$Q(n, v) = \frac{1}{4}(n-v)(4l+4-n-v).$$
(50)

Confronting (41) and (50) we see that conjugate terms have the same seniority.

The seniority number suffices for distinguishing the different terms of the same kind in the configurations  $d^n$  but not in  $f^n$ , since there are in  $f^n$  terms of the same kind which have also the same seniority. For such configurations an unspecified parameter  $\alpha$  must be maintained besides v; terms corresponding according to (49) will have the same values of v and of  $\alpha$ .

# Conservation of seniority

Seniority v is the number of particles not in pairs coupled to  $\mathcal{J}=0$  (Racah).

Conditions for the conservation of seniority by a an interaction can be derived in general

Any two-body interaction between identical fermions with spin j conserves seniority if  $j \le 7/2$ .



# Is seniority conserved in nuclei?

- The interaction between nucleons is "short range".
- A  $\delta$  interaction is therefore a reasonable approximation to the nucleon two-body force.
- The  $\delta$  interaction between identical nucleons conserves seniority.
- ∴ In semi-magic nuclei seniority is conserved to a good approximation.

# Seniority and ph conjugation

- The particle-hole conjugation operator  $\Gamma$ transforms a problem of *n* fermions in a *j* shell into one with 2j+1-n fermions.
- A representation of the ph transformation

 $\hat{\Gamma} = \exp\left[\frac{1}{2}\pi\left(\hat{S}_{+}-\hat{S}_{-}\right)\right]$ where  $S_{\pm}$  are the quasi-spin operators  $\hat{S}_{+} = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2j+1}\left(a_{j}^{+}a_{j}^{+}\right)_{0}^{(0)}, \quad \hat{S}_{-} = \left(\hat{S}_{+}\right)^{+}$ 

# A geometric phase

The action of ph conjugation on a seniority state:

$$\hat{\Gamma} |j^{n} \upsilon J\rangle = (-)^{(n-\upsilon)/2} |j^{2j+1-n} \upsilon J\rangle$$

The sign is without any consequence *except* if the left and right states are the same, that is for a half-filled shell, *n*=2*j*+1-*n*.

The observable consequence of this phase is that  $\Delta v = \pm 2$  seniority mixing is forbidden.

# Five nucleons in a j=9/2 shell



```
Spectrum of <sup>213</sup>Pb
```



J.J. Valiente-Dobón et al., Phys. Lett. B 816 (2021) 136183

# E2 transitions in <sup>213</sup>Pb



J.J. Valiente-Dobón et al., Phys. Lett. B 816 (2021) 136183

# Effective charges

One kind of nucleon in a single-*j* shell  $\hat{T}_1(E2) = e_{\text{eff}} (a_j^* \tilde{a}_j)^{(2)}$ 

Matrix elements between *n*-nucleon states are

$$\left\langle j^{n} \alpha' J' \left\| \hat{T}_{1} \left( E2 \right) \right\| j^{n} \alpha J \right\rangle = \frac{n}{n-1} \left( - \right)^{j+J} \sqrt{\left( 2J+1 \right) \left( 2J'+1 \right)}$$

$$\times \sum_{\tilde{\alpha} R \tilde{\alpha}' R'} c_{n\alpha J}^{\tilde{\alpha} R} c_{n\alpha' J'}^{\tilde{\alpha}' R'} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} J & J' & 2 \\ R' & R & j \end{array} \right\} \left\langle j^{n-1} \tilde{\alpha}' R' \left\| \hat{T}_{1} \left( E2 \right) \right\| j^{n-1} \tilde{\alpha} R \right\rangle$$

Calculate recursively until  $\langle j \| \hat{T}_1(E2) \| j \rangle = e_{eff} \sqrt{5}$   $\rightarrow$  All B(E2) values within a single-*j* shell depend on one effective charge.

# State-dependent effective charges

In a single-*j* shell

$$\hat{T}_1(E2) = e_{\text{eff}}(J,J') (a_j^* \tilde{a}_j)^{(2)}$$

Matrix elements between *n*-body states are calculated recursively until

$$\left\langle j^{2}J' \| \hat{T}_{1}(E2) \| j^{2}J \right\rangle$$
  
=  $-\sqrt{20(2J+1)(2J'+1)} \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} j & j & 2 \\ J & J' & j \end{array} \right\} e_{\text{eff}}(J,J')$ 

 $\rightarrow$  Many effective charges.

# State-dependent effective charges

B(E2) values depend on all effective charges  $e_{eff}(J,J-2)$  for n > 2.

Example: the 13/2<sup>+</sup> -> 9/2<sup>+</sup> E2 transition for *n*=3. Constant effective charge:

 $\left\langle j^{3} \frac{9}{2} \right\| \hat{T}_{1}(E2) \| j^{3} \frac{13}{2} \right\rangle = \sqrt{\frac{70}{11}} e_{\text{eff}}$ 

State-dependent effective charges:

 $\left\langle j^{3} \frac{9}{2} \left\| \hat{T}_{1}(E2) \right\| j^{3} \frac{13}{2} \right\rangle = \sqrt{\frac{7}{110}} \left( \frac{54}{11} e_{\text{eff}}(2,0) + \frac{453}{1573} e_{\text{eff}}(4,2) + \frac{3067}{1573} e_{\text{eff}}(6,4) + \frac{408}{143} e_{\text{eff}}(8,6) \right)$ 

E2 data in <sup>210</sup>Po and <sup>211</sup>At



V. Karayonchev *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C **99** (2019) 024326 V. Karayonchev *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C **106** (2022) 044321

One-body E2



State-dependent one-body E2



# E2 properties of N=50 isotones



# E2 properties of N=50 isotones



# E2 transitions in <sup>94</sup>Ru



# E2 transitions in <sup>95</sup>Rh



# Conclusions

Despite a rapid progress in *ab initio* calculations and many-body methods there is still a need for simple models of complex nuclei.

- Symmetry methods grounded in group theory provide such simple models.
- Unexpected and unexplained experimental results are the most interesting ones.