Description of fission process including intrinsic excitations - Application to ^{240}Pu

An opportunity for TDGCM approach to describe scission and include dissipation

N. Pillet[1,2] **and P. Carpentier**[2]

(1)CEA DAM DIF
(2)LMCE Université Paris-Saclay

Content

I. **Nuclear fission dynamics within TDGCM approach**

II. **Building continuous adiabatic and excited HFB vacua with overlap constraints in the variational principle**

III. **Application to ^{240}Pu fission along the asymmetric path including intrinsic excitations**

IV. **Conclusions and Perspectives**

I. Nuclear fission dynamics within TDGCM approach



Adiabatic PES

(HFB3 solver, 2 CT HO basis, D1S Gogny force)

N. Dubray et al., *Eur. Phys. J. A* **61**, 222 (2025)

Main degrees of freedom for fission dynamics

- Collective deformations
- Superfluidity
- Shell effects
- Intrinsic excitations (pair breaking phenomenon):

Sudden drop of $\overline{\mathrm{TKE}}$

F. Vives et al., Nucl.Phys. A662 (2000)



Pair breaking energy: 2.3MeV

Odd-even staggering

P. Morfouace et al., Nature vol. 641 (2025)



I. Nuclear fission dynamics within TDGCM approach

Schrödinger Collective-Intrinsic Model (SCIM)

Adiabatic states — Excited states

- **Trial wave function** :

$$|\Psi_{SCIM}\rangle = \int dq f(q)|\Phi(q)\rangle + \sum_{i=1,N} \int dq f_i(q)|\Phi^{(i)}(q)\rangle$$

I. Nuclear fission dynamics within TDGCM approach

Schrödinger Collective-Intrinsic Model (SCIM)

Adiabatic states   Excited states

- **Trial wave function** :

$$|\Psi_{SCIM}\rangle = \int dq f(q)|\Phi(q)\rangle + \sum_{i=1,N} \int dq f_i(q)|\Phi^{(i)}(q)\rangle$$

- **Energy minimization** expressed with center of mass $\bar{q}$=(q+q')/2 and relative s=(q-q')/2 coordinates:

$$\delta\left(\frac{1}{2^n}\sum_j\sum_i \int d\bar{q} \int ds f_j^*(\bar{q}-s)\langle\Phi^{(j)}(\bar{q}-s)|\hat{H}-E|\Phi^{(i)}(\bar{q}+s)\rangle f_i(\bar{q}+s)\right) = 0$$

I. Nuclear fission dynamics within TDGCM approach

Schrödinger Collective-Intrinsic Model (SCIM)

Adiabatic states — Excited states

- **Trial wave function** : $$|\Psi_{SCIM}\rangle = \int dq f(q)|\Phi(q)\rangle + \sum_{i=1,N} \int dq f_i(q)|\Phi^{(i)}(q)\rangle$$

- **Energy minimization** expressed with center of mass $\bar{q}$=(q+q')/2 and relative s=(q-q')/2 coordinates:

$$\delta(\frac{1}{2^n}\sum_j\sum_i \int d\bar{q} \int ds f_j^*(\bar{q}-s)\langle\Phi^{(j)}(\bar{q}-s)|\hat{H}-E|\Phi^{(i)}(\bar{q}+s)\rangle f_i(\bar{q}+s)) = 0$$

Taylor expansion ➡

$$\delta(\frac{1}{2^n}\sum_j\sum_i \int ds \int d\bar{q} f_j^*(\bar{q}) e^{s\frac{\partial}{\partial q}}\langle\Phi^{(j)}(\bar{q}-s)|\hat{H}-E|\Phi^{(i)}(\bar{q}+s)\rangle e^{s\frac{\partial}{\partial q}} f_i(\bar{q})) = 0$$

Non local equation!

I. Nuclear fission dynamics within TDGCM approach

Schrödinger Collective-Intrinsic Model (SCIM)

- **Energy minimization** expressed with center of mass $\overline{q}$=(q+q')/2 and relative s=(q-q')/2 coordinates:

Taylor expansion

$$\delta\left(\frac{1}{2^n}\sum_j\sum_i\int ds\int d\bar{q} f_j^*(\bar{q})e^{s\frac{\partial}{\partial q}}\langle\Phi^{(j)}(\bar{q}-s)|\hat{H}-E|\Phi^{(i)}(\bar{q}+s)\rangle e^{s\frac{\partial}{\partial q}} f_i(\bar{q})\right)=0$$

- **Non-locality in "s" fully treated** with the Symmetric Ordered Products of Operators (SOPO) technics

$$\begin{cases}\mathcal{H}_{ji}(\bar{q},s)=\langle\Phi^{(j)}(\bar{q}-s)|\hat{H}-E|\Phi^{(i)}(\bar{q}+s)\rangle\\ \mathcal{N}_{ji}(\bar{q},s)=\langle\Phi^{(j)}(\bar{q}-s)|\Phi^{(i)}(\bar{q}+s)\rangle\end{cases}$$

SOPO

$$\begin{cases}e^{s\frac{\partial}{\partial q}}\mathcal{H}_{ji}(\bar{q},s)e^{s\frac{\partial}{\partial q}}=\sum_{k=0}^{+\infty}\frac{1}{k!}[\mathcal{H}_{ji}(\bar{q},s)(s\frac{\partial}{\partial q})]^{(k)}\\ e^{s\frac{\partial}{\partial q}}\mathcal{N}_{ji}(\bar{q},s)e^{s\frac{\partial}{\partial q}}=\sum_{k=0}^{+\infty}\frac{1}{k!}[\mathcal{N}_{ji}(\bar{q},s)(s\frac{\partial}{\partial q})]^{(k)}\end{cases}$$

$$[AB]^{(n)}=\sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{n}{k}B^kAB^{n-k}$$

Hamiltonian and Norm kernels

I. Nuclear fission dynamics within TDGCM approach

Schrödinger Collective-Intrinsic Model (SCIM)

- **Energy minimization** expressed with center of mass $\bar{q}$=(q+q')/2 and relative s=(q-q')/2 coordinates:

Taylor expansion →

$$\delta\left(\frac{1}{2^n}\sum_j\sum_i\int ds\int d\bar{q} f_j^*(\bar{q})e^{s\frac{\partial}{\partial q}}\langle\Phi^{(j)}(\bar{q}-s)|\hat{H}-E|\Phi^{(i)}(\bar{q}+s)\rangle e^{s\frac{\partial}{\partial q}} f_i(\bar{q})\right)=0$$

- **Non-locality in "s" fully treated** with the Symmetric Ordered Products of Operators (SOPO) technics

$$\begin{cases}\mathcal{H}_{ji}(\bar{q},s)=\langle\Phi^{(j)}(\bar{q}-s)|\hat{H}-E|\Phi^{(i)}(\bar{q}+s)\rangle\\ \mathcal{N}_{ji}(\bar{q},s)=\langle\Phi^{(j)}(\bar{q}-s)|\Phi^{(i)}(\bar{q}+s)\rangle\end{cases}$$

's' dependence in SOPO →

$$\begin{cases}\mathcal{H}_{ji}^{(p)}(\bar{q})=\int ds\,\mathcal{H}_{ji}(\bar{q},s)s^p\\ \mathcal{N}_{ji}^{(p)}(\bar{q})=\int ds\,\mathcal{N}_{ji}(\bar{q},s)s^p\end{cases}$$

Hamiltonian and Norm kernels **Moments of operators**

I. Nuclear fission dynamics within TDGCM approach

Schrödinger Collective-Intrinsic Model (SCIM)

- **Energy minimization** expressed with center of mass $\bar{q}$=(q+q')/2 and relative s=(q-q')/2 coordinates:

Taylor expansion →

$$\delta\left(\frac{1}{2^n}\sum_j\sum_i \int ds \int d\bar{q} f_j^*(\bar{q}) e^{s\frac{\partial}{\partial q}} \langle \Phi^{(j)}(\bar{q}-s)|\hat{H}-E|\Phi^{(i)}(\bar{q}+s)\rangle e^{s\frac{\partial}{\partial q}} f_i(\bar{q})\right) = 0$$

- **Non-locality in "s" fully treated** with the Symmetric Ordered Products of Operators (SOPO) technics

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{H}_{ji}(\bar{q},s) = \langle \Phi^{(j)}(\bar{q}-s)|\hat{H}-E|\Phi^{(i)}(\bar{q}+s)\rangle \\ \mathcal{N}_{ji}(\bar{q},s) = \langle \Phi^{(j)}(\bar{q}-s)|\Phi^{(i)}(\bar{q}+s)\rangle \end{cases}$$

Hamiltonian and Norm kernels

By integrating within the SOPO →

$$\begin{cases} \bar{\mathcal{H}}_{ji}(\bar{q}) = \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{k!}[\mathcal{H}_{ji}^{(p)}(\bar{q})\frac{\partial}{\partial q})]^{(k)} \\ \bar{\mathcal{N}}_{ji}(\bar{q}) = \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{k!}[\mathcal{N}_{ji}^{(p)}(\bar{q})\frac{\partial}{\partial q})]^{(k)} \end{cases}$$

Special Hamiltonian and Norm kernels

Moments of operators

I. Nuclear fission dynamics within TDGCM approach

Schrödinger Collective-Intrinsic Model (SCIM)

- **Energy minimization** expressed with center of mass $\bar{q}$=(q+q')/2 and relative s=(q-q')/2 coordinates:

Taylor expansion

$$\delta\left(\frac{1}{2^n}\sum_j\sum_i\int ds\int d\bar{q} f_j^*(\bar{q}) e^{s\frac{\partial}{\partial q}}\langle\Phi^{(j)}(\bar{q}-s)|\hat{H}-E|\Phi^{(i)}(\bar{q}+s)\rangle e^{s\frac{\partial}{\partial q}} f_i(\bar{q})\right)=0$$

- **Hill-Wheeler equation including intrinsic excitations :**

$$\boxed{\mathcal{H}_{SCIM}\, g = E g}$$

Local equation!

$$\begin{cases}\mathcal{H}_{SCIM} = \bar{\mathcal{N}}^{-1/2}\bar{\mathcal{H}}\bar{\mathcal{N}}^{-1/2}\\ g = \bar{\mathcal{N}}^{1/2} f\end{cases}$$

Non-locality absorbed in the special Norm and Hamiltonian kernels

I. Nuclear fission dynamics within TDGCM approach

Schrödinger Collective-Intrinsic Model (SCIM)

- **Collective-Intrinsic Hamiltonian at second order in SOPO**

$$\mathcal{H}_{SCIM}(\bar{q}) = V(\bar{q}) + [D(\bar{q})\frac{\partial}{\partial q}]^{(1)} + [B(\bar{q})\frac{\partial}{\partial q}]^{(2)}$$

  - Zero order: potential $V(\overline{q})$
  - First order: “dissipation” tensor $D(\overline{q})$
  - Second order: inertia tensor $B(\overline{q})$

- **Time-dependent Schrödinger equation with intrinsic excitations**
  - Trial Wave function: $$|\Psi_{SCIM}(t)\rangle = \int dq f(q,t)|\Phi(q)\rangle + \sum_{i=1,N} \int dq f_i(q,t)|\Phi^{(i)}(q)\rangle$$
  - Schrödinger equation: $$\mathcal{H}_{SCIM}\, g(t) = i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} g(t)$$

- **Continuous and regular overlaps required** for the Norm and Hamiltonian moment kernels to be continuous and regular **(strong hypothesis of the SCIM!)**

II. Building continuous adiabatic and excited HFB vacua with overlap constraints in the variational principle

PES continuous in energy (retro-propagation algorithm)



Evolution of Q_{40} signing state discontinuities



Small values of the overlaps signing states discontinuities



Discontinuity issues in the adiabatic states

- **Discontinuities in energy**
  - Origin: HFB solver a non-ideal minimizer that can fall in local minima
  - Solved numerically by a retro-propagation algorithm based on the overlap wave functions
- **Discontinuities in states**
  - Reduction of the collective space to few multipoles
  - Signed by the overlap of neighbor wave functions in the PES which displayed small values
  - More delicate to solve
  - Same discontinuity issues in excited states (2QP)

Need of another way of producing ground and excited states!

II. Building continuous adiabatic and excited HFB vacua with overlap constraints in the variational principle

Adiabatic and excited asymmetric paths in ^{240}Pu



P. Carpentier, N. Pillet, D. Lacroix, N. Dubray and D. Regnier,
Phys. Rev. Lett.133, 152501 (2024)

HFB approach under overlap constraints

$$\hat{H}_c = \hat{H} + \sum_\alpha \lambda_\alpha \hat{Q}_\alpha + \sum_\beta \gamma_\beta |\Phi_\beta\rangle\langle\Phi_\beta|$$

- **3 protocols**: Link, Drop and Continuous Deflation
  - continuous adiabatic path
  - continuous and orthogonal excited states

- Continuity: Link and Drop protocols

$$|\langle\Phi_i(q)|\Phi_i(q+\delta q)\rangle| \sim 1$$

- Orthogonality: Continuous Deflation protocol

$$|\langle\Phi_i(q)|\Phi_i(q+\delta q)\rangle| \sim 0$$

- Gradient method well-suited for these constraints

II. Building continuous adiabatic and excited HFB vacua with overlap constraints in the variational principle

- HFB adiabatic and asymmetric path of ^{240}Pu without overlap constraint

- **Spontaneous appearance of 3 discontinuities**
  - o 2 simple state discontinuities (yellow and green)
  - o Scission discontinuity (blue)









II. Building continuous adiabatic and excited HFB vacua with overlap constraints in the variational principle

Link protocol:



- **Objective:** To connect continuously 2 HFB vacua, A and B
- **Principle:** To create a set of HFB vacua $\{C_i\}$ such that the overlap squared between two adjacent states is equal to a fixed value $x_0 \approx 1$
- Shortest path ensured by a maximization of the overlap between $\{C_i\}$ and B

P. Carpentier, N. Pillet, D. Lacroix, N. Dubray and D. Regnier, Phys. Rev. Lett.133, 152501 (2024)



II. Building continuous adiabatic and excited HFB vacua with overlap constraints in the variational principle

Drop protocol:



- **Objective:** To create a continuous and regular path of HFB vacua along an energy descent
- **Principle:** Starting from an HFB vacua "A", creation of HFB states $\{C_i\}$ following an energy descent whose overlap squared between two adjacent states is equal to $x_0 \approx 1$



From the ground state of ^{240}Pu towards scission and beyond:

- a new continuous and regular path
- description of two fragments well-separated
- relaxation of fragments
- Connection to the Coulomb valley



II. Building continuous adiabatic and excited HFB vacua with overlap constraints in the variational principle

“Link+Drop” methods: continuous and regular adiabatic PES





II. Building continuous adiabatic and excited HFB vacua with overlap constraints in the variational principle

Where is the scission in the adiabatic state?



- **Chemical potential peaks at $c_\#$=495** (Q_{20}~ 13000fm^2)

- **Local neutron-proton ratio around scission in ^{240}Pu**

$$r_\rho(\vec{r}) = \begin{cases} \frac{\rho^{\tau_n}(\vec{r})}{\rho^{\tau_p}(\vec{r})} & \text{if } \rho(\vec{r}) > 5\times 10^{-3} \\ 0 & \text{if } \rho(\vec{r}) \leq 5\times 10^{-3} \end{cases}$$

- **Maximum of the neutron necking at $c_\#$=495 :** rρ ~ 4 in the neck, whereas N/Z=1.55 in ^{240}Pu

II. Building continuous adiabatic and excited HFB vacua with overlap constraints in the variational principle

Light fragment particle distributions in the adiabatic state



Distributions obtained using the separation method of C.Simenel, Phys. Rev. Lett. 105, 192701, (2010)

- **Neutrons:**
  - Peak at N_l=64 (exp. 60)
  - Sharper distribution close to scission
- **Protons:**
  - Peak at Z_l=42 (exp. 40)
  - Odd-even staggering close to scission



II. Building continuous adiabatic and excited HFB vacua with overlap constraints in the variational principle

Angular momentum distribution of fragments produced by the adiabatic state (preliminary)



Distributions based on the separation method of W. Younes and D. Gogny, Phys.Rev.Lett. 107, 132501 (2011)

II. Building continuous adiabatic and excited HFB vacua with overlap constraints in the variational principle



Intrinsic excitations	**?**
Deformation energy	**26.7 MeV**
TXE	**?**

Pre-scission	**?**
Post-scission (Interaction energy)	**152.5 (178.7) MeV**
TKE	**?**

Static energy balance at scission

- W. Younes and D. Gogny, PRL 107, 132501 (2011) (quantum separation with QP rotation)

$$E = E^{(l)} + E^{(r)} + E_{int}$$

- **Deformation energy**

$$E_{def} = E^{(r)}(495) - E^{(r)}(+\infty) + E^{(l)}(495) - E^{(l)}(+\infty)$$

- **Post-scission kinetic energy**

$$E_{int} = E_{int}(Nucl) + E_{int}(Coul)$$



II. Building continuous adiabatic and excited HFB vacua with overlap constraints in the variational principle

Continuous Deflation protocol:



- **Objective:** To create variational excited HFB vacua above the continuous adiabatic path

- **Principle:** For each state A_i, an excited state D_i is built imposing
  - an overlap $x_1 \approx 0$ with A_i to obtain orthogonality
  - an overlap $x_0 \approx 1$ with D_{i-1} to obtain continuity

Adiabatic and excited asymmetric paths in ^{240}Pu



P. Carpentier, N. Pillet, D. Lacroix, N. Dubray and D. Regnier, Phys. Rev. Lett.133, 152501 (2024)

II. Building continuous adiabatic and excited HFB vacua with overlap constraints in the variational principle

Content of excited HFB vacua: mixing of 2n-QP

- 2-QP component :

$$\sigma^{(2)} = \sum_{ij} \left|\langle \Phi^* | \xi_i^+ \bar{\xi}_j^+ | \Phi \rangle\right|^2$$

- 4-QP component:

$$\sigma^{(4)} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha\beta} \sum_{ij,\,(\Omega_{\alpha\beta},\tau_{\alpha\beta}) \neq (\Omega_{ij},\tau_{ij})} \left|\langle \Phi^* | \xi_\alpha^+ \bar{\xi}_\beta^+ \xi_i^+ \bar{\xi}_j^+ | \Phi \rangle\right|^2 + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{\alpha\beta} \sum_{ij,\,(\Omega_{\alpha\beta},\tau_{\alpha\beta}) = (\Omega_{ij},\tau_{ij})} \left|\langle \Phi^* | \xi_\alpha^+ \bar{\xi}_\beta^+ \xi_i^+ \bar{\xi}_j^+ | \Phi \rangle\right|^2$$

- Intrinsic excitations:
  - ❖ Configuration mixing changing with $c_\#$
  - ❖ Dominant 2-QP and 4-QP components but not only
  - ❖ Pair breaking mechanism included



II. Building continuous adiabatic and excited HFB vacua with overlap constraints in the variational principle

Light fragment particle distributions in the excited states





- **Neutrons**
  - Distribution enlarged
  - More odd components (pairs broken)

Neutron odd components



- **Protons**
  - Distribution slightly enlarged
  - More odd components (pairs broken)
  - Odd-even staggering tends to vanish

Proton odd components



II. Building continuous adiabatic and excited HFB vacua with overlap constraints in the variational principle





I.Abdurrahman et al.,
Phys.Rev.Lett. 132, 242501 (2024)





- Q_{neck} (neutron)> Q_{neck} (proton)
- Neutron intrinsic excitation with a total Q_{neck} larger than the one of the proton intrinsic excitations
- Neutron intrinsic excitations hold pre-fragments together in the scission area
- Neutron as the ultimate glue
- Results in agreement with the recent study of I. Abdurrahman et al. using TDDFT approach

III. Application to ^{240}Pu fission along the asymmetric path including intrinsic excitations

Schrödinger equation handled numerically

$$\mathcal{H}_{SCIM}\, g(t) = i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} g(t)$$

- **Crank-Nicolson** method
- Initial state built in the ground state well
- Initial average energy **E_0= first barrier top**
- Initial energy standard deviation = **0.5 MeV**
- Absorption is added after scission

$$\mathcal{H}_{SCIM}(\bar{q}) = V(\bar{q}) + [D(\bar{q})\frac{\partial}{\partial q}]^{(1)} + [B(\bar{q})\frac{\partial}{\partial q}]^{(2)}$$



II. Building continuous adiabatic and excited HFB vacua with overlap constraints in the variational principle

Light fragment particle distributions

- **Distribution of the fragmentation**

$$\mathrm{Y}_{SCIM}(N_l) = \sum_{i=0}^{6} \mathrm{Y}_i c_i^2(N_l)$$

$$\mathrm{Y}_{SCIM}(Z_l) = \sum_{i=0}^{6} \mathrm{Y}_i c_i^2(Z_l)$$

- **Neutrons:**
  - o Intrinsic excitations broaden the SCIM neutron fragmentation
  - o Asymmetrical broadening (in agreement with exp.)

- **Protons:**
  - o No specific broadening
  - o Moderation of the odd-even staggering

Need of a 2D extension of the SCIM to compare fully with experiment



III. Application to ^{240}Pu fission along the asymmetric path including intrinsic excitations





Probability fluxes extracted from a continuity equation

$$\phi(c_s, t_f) = \int_0^{t_f} \mathrm{d}t \frac{\mathrm{dP}(c_\# > c_s)}{\mathrm{d}t}(t)$$

- $t_f = 7.90\ 10^{-20}$ s
- Averaged over **[445,545]**
- Neutron > Proton

	1/2	3/2	5/2	7/2
Neutron	**41.5 %**	**20.3 %**	**-**	**0.0 %**
Proton	**2.1 %**	**-**	**17.5 %**	**2.8 %**

Adiabatic
15.8 %

III. Application to ^{240}Pu fission along the asymmetric path including intrinsic excitations



Intrinsic excitations	7.6 MeV
Deformation energy	26.7 MeV
TXE: Exp.= ~ 30 MeV	34.3 MeV

Pre-scission	25.8 MeV
Post-scission (Interaction energy)	152.5 (178.7) MeV
TKE: Exp.= ~ 181.2 MeV	178.3 (204.5) MeV

Energy balance at scission

- **Intrinsic excitation energy**

$$E^* = \frac{1}{101} \sum_i \sum_{c_\#=455}^{545} \phi_i(c_\#, t_f) \Delta E_i(c_\#)$$



- **Pre-scission kinetic energy**

$$E_{PS} = E_0 - (E_{HFB}(495) + E^*)$$

IV. Conclusions and Perspectives

Conclusions:

- First application of SCIM on the asymmetric path of ^{240}Pu
- Need of continuity et regularity
- Creation of new protocols based on overlap constraints (Link, Drop, Continuous deflation) to define HFB states compatible with the SCIM and dynamics in general
- Importance to include intrinsic excitations within the description of fission
- ...

^{180}Hg with Link+Drop



Perspectives:

- Improvement of energy balance by improving the fragment separation
- Improvement of energy balance by considering intrinsic excitations

- Application of 1D SCIM to other systems (see talk of P. Nieto Gallego)
- Better understanding of the Deflation method
- Study of half-lives (in progress)

- Generalization of SCIM to 2D for an exhaustive comparison to experiment (PhD thesis of P. Nieto Gallego)
- ...

Thank you !

Collaborators :

- P. Carpentier
- D. Lacroix
- N. Dubray
- D. Regnier
- A. Zdeb
- R. Bernard
- L. Robledo
- W. Younes

LABORATOIRE MATIERE EN CONDITIONS EXTREMES

université PARIS-SACLAY

cea

IJCLab Irène Joliot-Curie Laboratoire de Physique des 2 Infinis

UMCS

UAM Universidad Autónoma de Madrid

Berkeley UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

II. Building continuous adiabatic and excited HFB vacua with overlap constraints in the variational principle

Non self-consistent 2QP excitations





Discontinuity and irregularity issues in 2QP states

- **Non self-consistent 2QP excitations**
  - o Original proposal of the SCIM
  - o Time-even $|\Phi_{ij}\rangle = \alpha_{ij}(\xi_i^+\bar{\xi}_j^+ + \xi_j^+\bar{\xi}_i^+)|\Phi\rangle$
  - o Followed by continuity

$$|\langle\Phi_{ij}(q)|\Phi_{ij}(q+\delta q)\rangle| = \max_{i'j'}|\langle\Phi_{ij}(q)|\Phi_{i'j'}(q+\delta q)\rangle|$$

  - o Minimizing average particle number breaking
  - o **Not suitable**

- **Particle number PAV for adiabatic and 2QP states**
  - o Solve the energy problems
  - o Creates ambiguous mixing



  - o 2QP states produced by VAP also not suitable

II. Building continuous adiabatic and excited HFB vacua with overlap constraints in the variational principle





- **2QP excited states strongly irregular**
  - Even built from regular adiabatic states
  - Existence of numerous level repulsions along the path
  - Makes the SCIM unusable in practice

- **Need of another way of producing excited states!**

II. Building continuous adiabatic and excited HFB vacua with overlap constraints in the variational principle







New collective variable $c_\#$

- Index of the states generated by the Link and Drop protocols naturally provides a new collective coordinate $c_\#$, canceling out the irregularities of the kernels:

$$\forall c_\#, c'_\#,\ \langle \Phi(c_\#)|\Phi(c_\# \pm 1)\rangle = \langle \Phi(c'_\#)|\Phi(c'_\# \pm 1)\rangle$$

- neighbors states are distant from a constant overlap x_0
- $c_\#$ not a trivial coordinate as $Q_{20}(c_\#)$ is a non-linear function: different slope before and after saddle
- Distance between the states generated by the Link and Drop methods in line with the GOA predictions
- Collective space dimension left unchanged

II. Building continuous adiabatic and excited HFB vacua with overlap constraints in the variational principle



$$r_\rho(\vec{r}) = \begin{cases} \frac{\rho^{\tau_n}(\vec{r})}{\rho^{\tau_p}(\vec{r})} & \text{if } \rho(\vec{r}) > 5 \times 10^{-3} \\ 0 & \text{if } \rho(\vec{r}) \leq 5 \times 10^{-3} \end{cases}$$

Where is scission?

- Chemical potentials peak at **$c_\#$ = 495** (Q_{20} ~ 13000 fm^2)
- Maximum of neutron necking at **$c_\#$ = 495**



P. Carpentier, N. Pillet, D. Lacroix, N. Dubray and D. Regnier
Phys. Rev. Lett.133, 152501 (2024)

II. Building continuous adiabatic and excited HFB vacua with overlap constraints in the variational principle





Particle distribution of the light fragment

- C. Simenel, PRL 105, 192701 (2010)
- Particle number projection
- **Neutrons**
  - o Peak at N_l=64 (exp. 60)
  - o Sharper distribution close to scission
- **Protons**
  - o Peak at Z_l=42 (exp. 40)
  - o Odd-even staggering close to scission

II. Building continuous adiabatic and excited HFB vacua with overlap constraints in the variational principle

Adiabatic ZPE and collective mass of SCIM: Good agreement with exact GOA

$$\mathcal{H}_{SCIM} = V + [D\frac{\partial}{\partial q}]^{(1)} + [B\frac{\partial}{\partial q}]^{(2)}$$

$$ZPE = V - E_{HFB}$$

$$M = -\frac{1}{2B}$$





II. Building continuous adiabatic and excited HFB vacua with overlap constraints in the variational principle



Particle distribution of the light fragment

- **Neutrons**
  - o Peak at N=64 for adiabatic state (exp. N=60)
  - o No odd-even staggering
  - o Increase of odd components with the excited states: pair breaking
  - o Enlargement of distribution with excitations
- **Protons**
  - o Peak at Z=42 for adiabatic state (exp. Z=40)
  - o Odd-even staggering in both adiabatic and few excited states
  - o Increase of the odd components with the excited states (excepted the 9/2 state)

II. Building continuous adiabatic and excited HFB vacua with overlap constraints in the variational principle

Neutron intrinsic excitations



Proton intrinsic excitations



- **Neutron intrinsic excitations:**
  - Local ratio r_ρ higher than in the adiabatic state
  - Differences from one excited state to another
  - Differences in the separation: the greater the maximum of r_ρ the later the separation

	$n_{1/2}$	$n_{3/2}$	$n_{5/2}$	$n_{7/2}$	$n_{9/2}$
$c_\# = 485$	5.56	8.62	5.65	4.66	7.65
$c_\# = 495$	10.40	12.38	11.30	8.73	14.22
$c_\# = 505$	20.21	9.23	20.48	9.41	29.13

- **Proton intrinsic excitations:**
  - local ratio r_ρ quite similar to the adiabatic state and smaller between pre-fragment than in the adiabatic state
  - Neutron necking clearly visible only in the 9/2 state
  - More proton in the neck than in the adiabatic case